

The tracking loss rate (TLR) is defined as the ratio of the number of simulations, in which the target is lost in track (Maximum Position error $> 20[m]$), to the total number of simulations carried out. The average CPU time (avg.CPU) is the CPU time needed to execute one time step in MATLAB R2011b (win64) on an Intel Core i7 (Nehalem microarchitecture) operating under Windows 7 Ultimate (Version 6.1). All the important specifications and features are reported in Table III.

TABLE III
SPECIFICATIONS & FEATURES

Processor	Type Model Frequency Cores	Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 920 4.2 GHz 4 (8 threads)
Memory	DRAM Type	6144 MB (3 x 2048 DDR3-SDRAM) PC3-8500 (533 MHz)

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work, we propose an Interacting Population based Monte Carlo Markov Chain based PF (IP-MCMC-PF) that solves the multitarget tracking problem, which is fully parallelizable. In particular, the proposed algorithm matches the tracking performance of the multitarget SIR PF, while allowing for a dramatic reduction of the computational time.

Furthermore, we demonstrate through simulations that the proposed IP-MCMC-PF provides better tracking performance than the conventional MCMC based particle filter. This holds in terms of track accuracy and robustness to a low number of particles. In fact, sampling particles from the target posterior distribution via interacting population-based simulation avoids sample impoverishment and accelerates the MCMC convergence rate.

In a forthcoming work, we will therefore focus on more complex scenarios where targets may enter or leave the observation area. Furthermore, as future research we will investigate the applicability of well known MCMC techniques to additionally reduce the computational burden.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research leading to these results has received funding from the EU's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement n^o238710.

The research has been carried out in the MC IMPULSE project: <https://mcimpulse.isy.liu.se>.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Vermaak, S. Godsill, and P. Perez, "Monte carlo filtering for multi-target tracking and data association," *IEEE Tr. Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 309–332, January 2005.
- [2] D. J. Salmond and H. Birch, "A particle filter for track-before-detect," *Proc. of the 2001 American Control Conference*, pp. 3755–3760, 2001.
- [3] C. Kreucher, K. Kastella, and A. Hero, "Tracking multiple targets using a particle filter representation of the joint multitarget probability density," *Proceedings of the SPIE*, vol. 5204, pp. 258–269, 2003.
- [4] Y. Boers and J. N. Driessen, "Multitarget particle filter track-before-detect application," *IEE Proc. on Radar, Sonar and Navigation*, vol. 151, pp. 351–357, 2004.
- [5] A. Doucet and A. M. Johansen, "A tutorial on particle filtering and smoothing: fifteen years later," *In Handbook of Nonlinear Filtering*, University Press, 2009.
- [6] M. Orton and W. Fitzgerald, "Bayesian approach to tracking multiple targets using sensor arrays and particle filters," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 216–223, February.
- [7] C. Andrieu, A. Doucet, and R. Holenstein, "Particle markov chain monte carlo for efficient numerical simulation," *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*, pp. 45–60, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2008.
- [8] —, "Particle markov chain monte carlo methods," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 269–342, 2010.
- [9] A. E. Gelfand and A. F. M. Smith, "Sampling-based approaches to calculating marginal densities," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 85, pp. 398–409, 1990.
- [10] G. Casella and E. I. George, "Explaining the gibbs sampler," *The American Statistician*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 167–174, August 1992.
- [11] N. Metropolis, A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. H. Teller, and E. Teller, "Equations of state calculations by fast computing machines," *J. Chemical Physics*, vol. 21, pp. 1087–1091, 1953.
- [12] W. K. Hastings, "Monte carlo sampling methods using markov chains and their applications," *Biometrika*, vol. 57, pp. 97–109, 1970.
- [13] S. Chib and E. Greenberg, "Understanding the metropolis-hastings algorithm," *The American Statistician*, vol. 49, pp. 327–335, 1995.
- [14] S. Park, J. P. Hwang, E. Kim, and H. J. Kang, "A new evolutionary particle filter for the prevention of sample impoverishment," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 801–809, August 2009.
- [15] W. R. Gilks, S. Richardson, and D. J. Spiegelhalter, *Markov Chain Monte Carlo in Practice*. Chapman & Hall, 1996.
- [16] C. Andrieu and E. Moulines, "On the ergodicity properties of some adaptive mcmc algorithms," *Annals of Applied Probability*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1462–1505, 2006.
- [17] N. Gordon, D. J. Salmond, and A. F. M. Smith, "Novel approach to nonlinear/non-gaussian bayesian state estimation problems," *IEE Proc. on Radar and Signal Processing*, vol. 140(2), pp. 107–113, 1993.
- [18] A. F. M. Smith and A. E. Gelfand, "Bayesian statistics without tears: A sampling-resampling perspective," *The American Statistician*, vol. 46, pp. 84–88, 1992.
- [19] X.-L. Hu, T. Schon, and L. Ljung, "A basic convergence result for particle filtering," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1337–1348, April 2008.
- [20] Z. Khan, T. Balch, and F. Dellaert, "Mcmc-based particle filtering for tracking a variable number of interacting targets," *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 1805–1819, 2005.
- [21] C. Andrieu and G. O. Roberts, "The pseudo-marginal approach for efficient monte carlo computations," *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 697–725, 2009.
- [22] F. Liang and W. H. Wong, "Real parameter evolutionary monte carlo with applications to bayesian mixture models," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 96, pp. 653–666, 2001.
- [23] A. Gelman and D. B. Rubin, "Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences (with discussion)," *Statistical Science*, vol. 7, pp. 457–511, 1992.